




County of Los Angeles  
Department of Mental Health  
Program Support Bureau  
Quality Improvement & Training Divisions-Data-GIS Unit


Population Demographics in 2007

- Total Population - **358,266**  
⇒ Estimated Population Living with Serious Emotional Disorder & Mental Illness - **25,465**
- Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Level - **140,763**  
⇒ Estimated Population Living with a Serious Emotional Disorder & Mental Illness and below Poverty - **10,080**
- Population Eligible for Medi-Cal - **67,484**
- Consumers Served in Short Doyle/Medi-Cal Outpatient Facilities - **6,765**  
⇒ Consumers Eligible for Medi-Cal - **5,352**

Total Population in 2007



Population by Ethnicity-2007



# Mental Health Clinics in Service Area 1

## County of Los Angeles, Department of Mental Health



### OPERATION

- DMH Operated Clinic
  - ▲ Contract-Operated Clinic
- City name in Red.  
Community name in Black.



0.5 2 3 Miles  
Scale bar showing distances in miles.

Quality Improvement & Training Division: Data-GIS Unit  
Map created by HS, ISD/UR-GIS on 3/25/2009  
Data from LACO Central Repository, Thomas Brothers  
All Rights Reserved.

**Estimated Population by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1– 2007**

<i><b>CITY</b></i>	<i><b>Community</b></i>	<i><b>White</b></i>	<i><b>African American</b></i>	<i><b>Native American</b></i>	<i><b>Latino</b></i>	<i><b>Pacific Islander</b></i>	<i><b>Total</b></i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>6,500</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>8,043</b>
	Percentage	80.8%	1.7%	0.9%	15.8%	0.8%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>3,554</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>4,225</b>
	Percentage	84.1%	1.4%	0.6%	12.9%	1.0%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>1,465</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1,953</b>
	Percentage	75.0%	3.2%	1.3%	19.4%	1.1%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>1,136</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1,493</b>
	Percentage	76.1%	3.3%	1.0%	18.0%	1.7%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,827</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3,048</b>
	Percentage	59.9%	3.1%	1.1%	35.3%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>1,862</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2,397</b>
	Percentage	77.7%	3.1%	0.3%	18.5%	0.4%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>5,382</b>	<b>1,675</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>4,896</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>12,164</b>
	Percentage	44.2%	13.8%	1.0%	40.2%	0.7%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>2,505</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3,040</b>
	Percentage	82.4%	2.4%	0.9%	13.6%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>933</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,255</b>
	Percentage	74.3%	5.9%	0.8%	19.0%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>6,746</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>5,748</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>13,972</b>
	Percentage	48.3%	9.2%	0.8%	41.1%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>10,226</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>3,345</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>14,646</b>
	Percentage	69.8%	4.8%	0.7%	22.8%	1.9%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>1,501</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1,349</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3,206</b>
	Percentage	46.8%	8.0%	1.0%	42.1%	2.1%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>73,474</b>	<b>23,055</b>	<b>984</b>	<b>39,322</b>	<b>6,152</b>	<b>142,987</b>
	Percentage	51.4%	16.1%	0.7%	27.5%	4.3%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>56,923</b>	<b>20,864</b>	<b>851</b>	<b>60,890</b>	<b>6,309</b>	<b>145,837</b>
	Percentage	39.0%	14.3%	0.6%	41.8%	4.3%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>174,034</b>	<b>48,454</b>	<b>2,425</b>	<b>120,178</b>	<b>13,175</b>	<b>358,266</b>
	Percentage	48.6%	13.5%	0.7%	33.5%	3.7%	100%

Data Source: Population Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Division.

**Estimated Population by Age-Group  
Service Area 1– 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Children 0-15 Yrs</b>	<b>TAY 16-25</b>	<b>Adult 26-59 yrs</b>	<b>Older Adults 60+ yrs</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>1,376</b>	<b>1,499</b>	<b>3,969</b>	<b>1,199</b>	<b>8,043</b>
	Percentage	17.1%	18.6%	49.3%	14.9%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>2,010</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>4,225</b>
	Percentage	18.2%	17.2%	47.6%	17.0%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>805</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>1,953</b>
	Percentage	17.9%	15.6%	41.2%	25.3%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>1,493</b>
	Percentage	18.8%	16.6%	44.3%	20.3%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>746</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>1,270</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>3,048</b>
	Percentage	24.5%	17.2%	41.7%	16.7%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>1,103</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>2,397</b>
	Percentage	19.9%	23.1%	46.0%	11.0%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>3,339</b>	<b>2,790</b>	<b>4,777</b>	<b>1,258</b>	<b>12,164</b>
	Percentage	27.4%	22.9%	39.3%	10.3%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>1,264</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>3,040</b>
	Percentage	16.9%	15.7%	41.6%	25.8%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>1,255</b>
	Percentage	12.0%	14.8%	49.0%	24.1%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>3,190</b>	<b>2,707</b>	<b>5,913</b>	<b>2,162</b>	<b>13,972</b>
	Percentage	22.8%	19.4%	42.3%	15.5%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>3,109</b>	<b>2,758</b>	<b>6,343</b>	<b>2,436</b>	<b>14,646</b>
	Percentage	21.2%	18.8%	43.3%	16.6%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>1,332</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>3,206</b>
	Percentage	29.5%	17.0%	41.5%	11.9%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>36,628</b>	<b>25,305</b>	<b>63,508</b>	<b>17,546</b>	<b>142,987</b>
	Percentage	25.6%	17.7%	44.4%	12.3%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>41,159</b>	<b>28,658</b>	<b>62,294</b>	<b>13,726</b>	<b>145,837</b>
	Percentage	28.2%	19.7%	42.7%	9.4%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>93,035</b>	<b>67,282</b>	<b>155,865</b>	<b>42,084</b>	<b>358,266</b>
	Percentage	26.0%	18.8%	43.5%	11.7%	100%

Data Source: Population Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Division.

**Estimated Population by Gender  
Service Area 1– 2007**


<b><i>CITY</i></b>	<b><i>Community</i></b>	<b><i>Male</i></b>	<b><i>Female</i></b>	<b><i>Total</i></b>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>4,177</b>	<b>3,866</b>	<b>8,043</b>
	Percentage	51.9%	48.1%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>2,124</b>	<b>2,101</b>	<b>4,225</b>
	Percentage	50.3%	49.7%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>1,953</b>
	Percentage	50.7%	49.3%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>761</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>1,493</b>
	Percentage	51.0%	49.0%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,564</b>	<b>1,484</b>	<b>3,048</b>
	Percentage	51.3%	48.7%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>1,313</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>2,397</b>
	Percentage	54.8%	45.2%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>6,021</b>	<b>6,143</b>	<b>12,164</b>
	Percentage	49.5%	50.5%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>1,432</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>3,040</b>
	Percentage	47.1%	52.9%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1,255</b>
	Percentage	56.4%	43.6%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>7,150</b>	<b>6,822</b>	<b>13,972</b>
	Percentage	51.2%	48.8%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>7,216</b>	<b>7,430</b>	<b>14,646</b>
	Percentage	49.3%	50.7%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>1,612</b>	<b>1,594</b>	<b>3,206</b>
	Percentage	50.3%	49.7%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>72,915</b>	<b>70,072</b>	<b>142,987</b>
	Percentage	51.0%	49.0%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>71,645</b>	<b>74,192</b>	<b>145,837</b>
	Percentage	49.1%	50.9%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>179,628</b>	<b>178,638</b>	<b>358,266</b>
	Percentage	50.1%	49.9%	100%

Data Source: Population Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Division.


## **Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) & Serious Mental Illness (SMI), (2007)**

California State Department of Mental Health calculates prevalence of serious emotional disorder and serious mental illness for all the Counties in the State. These estimates are calculated by applying prediction weights and developed from nationally prominent survey studies to California County population demographics. The State recommends the rates should be understood as reasonable estimates of serious mental illness prevalence rates, rather than counts of actual individuals.

### **Prevalence by Ethnicity**



### **Prevalence by Gender**



**Prevalence Rate by Ethnicity: Whites = 6.32%, African American = 7.18%, Latino = 7.66%, Native American = 6.59%, Asian/Pacific Islander = 7.00%.  
Prevalence Rate by Gender: Males = 6.38%, Females = 7.76%.**

Prevalence rates are available at [http://dmh.ca.gov/Statistics\\_and\\_Data](http://dmh.ca.gov/Statistics_and_Data)

**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Ethnicity  
Service Area -1, 2007**

<i><b>CITY</b></i>	<i><b>Community</b></i>	<i><b>White</b></i>	<i><b>African American</b></i>	<i><b>Native American</b></i>	<i><b>Latino</b></i>	<i><b>Pacific Islander</b></i>	<i><b>Total</b></i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	449	10	5	92	5	561
	Percent	80.0%	1.8%	0.9%	16.4%	0.9%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	246	4	2	39	3	294
	Percent	83.4%	1.5%	0.6%	13.4%	1.1%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	101	5	2	27	2	137
	Percent	74.1%	3.3%	1.3%	20.0%	1.2%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	78	4	1	19	2	104
	Percent	75.1%	3.4%	1.0%	18.6%	1.8%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	126	7	2	78	1	215
	Percent	58.8%	3.2%	1.1%	36.3%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	129	6	0	32	1	168
	Percent	76.8%	3.3%	0.3%	19.2%	0.4%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	372	123	9	355	7	865
	Percent	43.0%	14.2%	1.0%	41.0%	0.8%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	173	5	2	30	1	212
	Percent	81.7%	2.6%	0.9%	14.2%	0.7%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	64	5	1	17	0	88
	Percent	73.4%	6.2%	0.8%	19.6%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	466	94	8	417	6	991
	Percent	47.0%	9.5%	0.8%	42.0%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	707	51	7	243	21	1,028
	Percent	68.7%	5.0%	0.7%	23.6%	2.0%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	104	19	2	98	5	228
	Percent	45.5%	8.3%	1.0%	42.9%	2.3%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	5,077	1,692	69	2,851	466	10,156
	Percent	50.0%	16.7%	0.7%	28.1%	4.6%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	3,933	1,531	60	4,415	478	10,418
	Percent	37.8%	14.7%	0.6%	42.4%	4.6%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	12,026	3,557	171	8,713	999	25,465
	Percent	47.2%	14.0%	0.7%	34.2%	3.9%	100%

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.

**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Age-Group  
Service Area -1, 2007**

<b>CITY</b>	<b>Community</b>	<b>Children 0-15 Yrs</b>	<b>TAY 16-25</b>	<b>Adult 26-59 yrs</b>	<b>Older Adults 60+ yrs</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	95	110	280	87	572
	Percent	16.6%	19.2%	48.9%	15.2%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	53	53	142	52	300
	Percent	17.7%	17.8%	47.2%	17.3%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	24	22	57	36	139
	Percent	17.3%	16.0%	40.8%	25.8%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	19	18	47	22	106
	Percent	18.2%	17.1%	44.0%	20.7%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	52	38	90	37	216
	Percent	23.8%	17.7%	41.4%	17.1%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	33	41	78	19	170
	Percent	19.3%	23.8%	45.6%	11.2%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	231	205	337	91	863
	Percent	26.7%	23.7%	39.0%	10.6%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	36	35	89	57	217
	Percent	16.4%	16.2%	41.2%	26.3%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	10	14	43	22	89
	Percent	11.7%	15.3%	48.5%	24.6%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	220	199	417	157	993
	Percent	22.2%	20.0%	42.0%	15.8%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	215	202	447	177	1,041
	Percent	20.6%	19.4%	43.0%	17.0%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	65	40	94	28	227
	Percent	28.8%	17.7%	41.4%	12.2%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	2,531	1,857	4,477	1,272	10,138
	Percent	25.0%	18.3%	44.2%	12.5%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	2,844	2,103	4,392	995	10,334
	Percent	27.5%	20.4%	42.5%	9.6%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	6,429	4,938	10,988	3,051	25,407
	Percent	25.3%	19.4%	43.3%	12.0%	100%

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.



**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Gender  
Service Area -1, 2007**

<i><b>CITY</b></i>	<i><b>Community</b></i>	<i><b>Male</b></i>	<i><b>Female</b></i>	<i><b>Total</b></i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	267	302	569
	Percent	47.0%	53.0%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	136	164	300
	Percent	45.3%	54.7%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	63	75	138
	Percent	45.8%	54.2%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	49	57	106
	Percent	46.0%	54.0%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	100	116	216
	Percent	46.4%	53.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	84	85	169
	Percent	49.8%	50.2%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	385	479	864
	Percent	44.6%	55.4%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	92	125	217
	Percent	42.2%	57.8%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	45	43	88
	Percent	51.5%	48.5%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	458	532	990
	Percent	46.2%	53.8%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	462	580	1,041
	Percent	44.3%	55.7%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	103	124	228
	Percent	45.3%	54.7%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	4,667	5,466	10,132
	Percent	46.1%	53.9%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	4,585	5,787	10,372
	Percent	44.2%	55.8%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	11,496	13,934	25,430
	Percent	45.2%	54.8%	100%

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.

## Poverty (2007)


Poverty thresholds are established by the United States Census Bureau. The measurement uses a set of money income thresholds that vary by family size and composition. If a family's total income is less than the family's threshold, then that family and every individual in it is considered in poverty. The official poverty thresholds do not vary geographically and are updated for inflation using Consumer Price Index (CPI-U).

The official poverty definition uses money income before taxes and does not include capital gains or non-cash benefits (such as public housing, Medicaid, and food stamps). Money income includes earnings, unemployment compensation, social security, public assistance payments, pension or retirement income, interest, dividends, rents etc. Poverty thresholds vary by size of the family and ages of family members.


In 2007 the average 100% poverty threshold for a family composed of only two adults was \$13,540, for a family of two children and one adult the threshold was \$16,705 and for a family of two adults and two children it was \$21,027. 200% poverty thresholds are estimated as twice the amount of 100% poverty threshold. Therefore, 200% poverty threshold for a family of four with two children and adults in 2007 was  $\$21,027 \times 2 = \$42,054$ .

In the year 2007, nearly 140,763 individuals were estimated to be living at or below 200% poverty in Service Area 1.

**Population Living Below 200% Poverty by Ethnicity**



**Population Living Below 200% Poverty by Age-Group**



**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1 — 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>White</i>	<i>African American</i>	<i>Native American</i>	<i>Latino</i>	<i>Pacific Islander and Asian</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<b>Acton</b>	<b>1,014</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1,691</b>
	Percentage	60.0%	1.9%	1.8%	36.0%	0.4%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>443</b>
	Percentage	70.0%	5.2%	1.4%	23.5%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>540</b>
	Percentage	69.6%	4.3%	1.3%	24.8%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>561</b>
	Percentage	72.9%	3.4%	0.4%	23.4%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>571</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,316</b>
	Percentage	54.2%	1.8%	0.5%	43.4%	0.1%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>397</b>
	Percentage	48.9%	6.8%	0.0%	44.3%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>1,599</b>	<b>1,158</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2,766</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5,618</b>
	Percentage	28.5%	20.6%	1.1%	49.2%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>811</b>
	Percentage	69.5%	3.3%	1.0%	26.1%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>284</b>
	Percentage	57.7%	7.7%	0.7%	33.8%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>1,550</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3,151</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5,246</b>
	Percentage	29.5%	9.6%	0.8%	60.1%	0.1%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>2,143</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1,131</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3,597</b>
	Percentage	59.6%	7.8%	0.7%	31.4%	0.4%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>860</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,536</b>
	Percentage	36.3%	7.3%	0.2%	56.0%	0.2%	100%
<b>Unincorporated</b>							
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>23,457</b>	<b>13,112</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>20,427</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>59,308</b>
	Percentage	39.6%	22.1%	0.7%	34.4%	3.2%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>13,918</b>	<b>11,289</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>31,973</b>	<b>1,874</b>	<b>59,415</b>
	Percentage	23.4%	19.0%	0.6%	53.8%	3.2%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>46,969</b>	<b>26,651</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>62,341</b>	<b>3,803</b>	<b>140,763</b>
	Percentage	33.4%	18.9%	0.7%	44.3%	2.7%	100%

Data Source: Poverty Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Divisions.

**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Age-Group  
Service Area 1 — 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Children 0-15 Yrs</i>	<i>TAY 16-25</i>	<i>Adult 26-59 yrs</i>	<i>Older Adults 60+ yrs</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>697</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>1,691</b>
	Percentage	13.8%	35.1%	41.2%	9.9%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>443</b>
	Percentage	12.0%	33.9%	38.1%	16.0%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>540</b>
	Percentage	9.1%	20.2%	29.1%	41.7%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>561</b>
	Percentage	18.5%	28.9%	34.0%	18.5%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>1,316</b>
	Percentage	29.5%	23.0%	37.5%	10.0%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>397</b>
	Percentage	24.2%	32.0%	38.3%	5.5%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>2,185</b>	<b>1,440</b>	<b>1,690</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>5,618</b>
	Percentage	38.9%	25.6%	30.1%	5.4%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>811</b>
	Percentage	26.9%	22.8%	28.7%	21.6%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>284</b>
	Percentage	15.5%	22.5%	38.7%	23.2%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>1,567</b>	<b>1,151</b>	<b>2,125</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>5,246</b>
	Percentage	29.9%	21.9%	40.5%	7.7%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>1,340</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>3,597</b>
	Percentage	24.6%	24.9%	37.3%	13.2%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>1,536</b>
	Percentage	42.0%	18.3%	33.8%	5.9%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>19,732</b>	<b>10,244</b>	<b>22,818</b>	<b>6,514</b>	<b>59,308</b>
	Percentage	33.3%	17.3%	38.5%	11.0%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>21,476</b>	<b>12,577</b>	<b>20,624</b>	<b>4,738</b>	<b>59,415</b>
	Percentage	36.1%	21.2%	34.7%	8.0%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>47,678</b>	<b>28,286</b>	<b>51,323</b>	<b>13,490</b>	<b>140,777</b>
	Percentage	33.9%	20.1%	36.5%	9.6%	100%

Data Source: Poverty Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Divisions.

**Estimated Population Living at or Below 200% Federal Poverty Level by Gender  
Service Area 1 — 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>920</b>	<b>1,691</b>
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>443</b>
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>540</b>
	Percentage	50.6%	49.4%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>561</b>
	Percentage	53.1%	46.9%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>1,316</b>
	Percentage	51.7%	48.3%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>397</b>
	Percentage	49.4%	50.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>2,798</b>	<b>2,820</b>	<b>5,618</b>
	Percentage	49.8%	50.2%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>811</b>
	Percentage	46.5%	53.5%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>284</b>
	Percentage	50.7%	49.3%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>2,711</b>	<b>2,535</b>	<b>5,246</b>
	Percentage	51.7%	48.3%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>1,602</b>	<b>1,995</b>	<b>3,597</b>
	Percentage	44.5%	55.5%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>1,536</b>
	Percentage	45.6%	54.4%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>26,142</b>	<b>33,166</b>	<b>59,308</b>
	Percentage	44.1%	55.9%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>26,896</b>	<b>32,519</b>	<b>59,415</b>
	Percentage	45.3%	54.7%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>63,799</b>	<b>76,978</b>	<b>140,777</b>
	Percentage	45.3%	54.7%	100%


Data Source: Poverty Estimates by John Hedderson, WalterMcDonald, Sacramento, 2008, and Urban Research-GIS Section/ISD/SSSD. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit: QI & Training Divisions.

### **Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder and Serious Mental Illness among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty Level (2007)**


Prevalence estimates of SED and SMI among poverty population is based on population estimates of people living below poverty. The State Department of Mental Health calculates the prevalence of mental illness among population living below 200% poverty. This estimate is approximately 7.51% for all people living below 200% poverty.

Given the disparities in geographic distribution of population by ethnicity in the County, an average prevalence rate by ethnicity and poverty was applied to calculate estimated population living with mental illness and below poverty. This estimate was 6.91% for Whites, 7.34% for African Americans, 7.58% for Latinos, 7.25% for Asian/Pacific Islanders and 7.05% for Native Americans.

**Estimated Population in Poverty and Living with Serious  
Mental Illness by Ethnicity**



**Estimated Population in Poverty and Living with Serious  
Mental Illness by Age-Group**



**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Ethnicity  
Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty  
Service Area 1 - 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>White</i>	<i>African American</i>	<i>Native American</i>	<i>Latino</i>	<i>Pacific Islander</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	70	2	2	44	0	119
	Percent	58.8%	2.0%	1.8%	37.1%	0.4%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	21	2	0	8	0	31
	Percent	68.9%	5.4%	1.4%	24.3%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	26	2	0	10	0	38
	Percent	68.6%	4.5%	1.3%	25.6%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	28	1	0	9	0	39
	Percent	71.9%	3.5%	0.4%	24.2%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	49	2	0	41	0	93
	Percent	53.0%	1.9%	0.5%	44.5%	0.1%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	13	2	0	13	0	28
	Percent	47.6%	7.0%	0.0%	45.3%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	110	85	5	201	2	403
	Percent	27.4%	21.1%	1.1%	49.8%	0.6%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	39	2	1	15	0	57
	Percent	68.5%	3.5%	1.0%	27.0%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	11	2	0	7	0	20
	Percent	56.5%	8.1%	0.7%	34.7%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	107	37	3	228	0	375
	Percent	28.5%	9.8%	0.8%	60.8%	0.1%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	148	21	2	82	1	254
	Percent	58.4%	8.2%	0.7%	32.3%	0.4%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	39	8	0	62	0	110
	Percent	35.2%	7.5%	0.2%	56.9%	0.2%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	1,621	962	31	1,481	142	4,237
	Percent	38.3%	22.7%	0.7%	35.0%	3.3%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	962	829	25	2,318	142	4,276
	Percent	22.5%	19.4%	0.6%	54.2%	3.3%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>							
	Individuals with SED & SMI	<b>3,246</b>	<b>1,956</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>4,520</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>10,080</b>
	Percent	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>44.8%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.

**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Age-Group  
Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty  
Service Area 1 - 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Children 0-15 Yrs</i>	<i>TAY 16-25</i>	<i>Adult 26-59 yrs</i>	<i>Older Adults 60+ yrs</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	18	50	45	10	124
	Percent	14.9%	40.2%	36.6%	8.3%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	4	7	11	4	27
	Percent	15.8%	26.4%	41.4%	16.3%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	4	9	10	14	37
	Percent	10.5%	24.8%	27.6%	37.1%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	8	14	12	6	41
	Percent	20.2%	33.5%	30.6%	15.6%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	31	25	32	8	96
	Percent	31.9%	26.5%	33.3%	8.4%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	8	11	10	1	29
	Percent	25.7%	36.2%	33.5%	4.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	173	121	110	18	422
	Percent	40.9%	28.7%	26.0%	4.4%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	17	16	15	11	59
	Percent	29.4%	26.5%	25.9%	18.2%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	3	5	7	4	20
	Percent	17.4%	26.8%	35.7%	20.1%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	124	97	138	25	383
	Percent	32.3%	25.2%	36.0%	6.4%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	70	75	87	29	261
	Percent	26.7%	28.8%	33.3%	11.1%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>					
	Individuals with SED & SMI	51	24	34	6	114
	Percent	44.8%	20.7%	29.6%	4.9%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	1,559	860	1,483	397	4,300
	Percent	36.3%	20.0%	34.5%	9.2%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	1,697	1,056	1,341	289	4,383
	Percent	38.7%	24.1%	30.6%	6.6%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>						
	Individuals with SED & SMI	3,766	2,370	3,336	823	10,295
	Percent	36.6%	23.0%	32.4%	8.0%	100%

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.



**Estimated Prevalence of Serious Emotional Disorder (SED) and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) by Gender  
Among Population Living at or Below 200% Poverty  
Service Area 1 - 2007**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	49	72	121
	Percent	40.7%	59.3%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	13	19	32
	Percent	40.7%	59.3%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	17	21	38
	Percent	45.6%	54.4%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	19	21	40
	Percent	48.2%	51.8%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	44	50	93
	Percent	46.8%	53.2%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	13	16	28
	Percent	44.4%	55.6%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	179	220	399
	Percent	44.9%	55.1%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	24	34	58
	Percent	41.6%	58.4%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	9	11	20
	Percent	45.8%	54.2%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	174	198	371
	Percent	46.7%	53.3%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	103	156	258
	Percent	39.7%	60.3%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>			
	Individuals with SED & SMI	45	65	110
	Percent	40.8%	59.2%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	1,673	2,587	4,260
	Percent	39.3%	60.7%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	1,721	2,536	4,258
	Percent	40.4%	59.6%	100%
<b>Total Estimates for SED &amp; SMI</b>				
	Individuals with SED & SMI	4,083	6,004	10,086
	Percent	40.5%	59.5%	100%

Prevalence rates for SMI & SED are provided by the State Department of Mental Health. Rates by the State are applied to the County population estimates. Tables prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement and Training Divisions.

**Individuals Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits in March 2008 by Ethnicity  
Service Area 1**

<i>City</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>White</i>	<i>African American</i>	<i>American Indian &amp; Alaskans &amp; Native American</i>	<i>Latino</i>	<i>Pacific Islander</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>Unincorporated</b>	<b>Acton</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1,776</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>2,964</b>
	Percentage	28.5%	6.2%	0.5%	59.9%	4.9%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1,143</b>
	Percentage	23.5%	3.7%	0.0%	68.4%	4.4%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>953</b>
	Percentage	3.0%	6.0%	0.0%	91.0%	0.0%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,885</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2,093</b>
	Percentage	5.4%	3.2%	0.0%	90.1%	1.4%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>2,174</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>3,644</b>
	Percentage	59.7%	2.4%	0.1%	35.9%	1.9%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,047</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1,165</b>
	Percentage	7.6%	1.5%	0.3%	89.9%	0.8%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>719</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1,089</b>
	Percentage	66.0%	1.7%	0.1%	30.3%	1.8%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>632</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3,598</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>4,508</b>
	Percentage	14.0%	4.0%	0.3%	79.8%	1.9%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>810</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1,258</b>
	Percentage	22.6%	8.2%	0.1%	64.4%	4.8%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>1,236</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3,765</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>5,505</b>
	Percentage	22.5%	4.9%	0.3%	68.4%	4.0%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>705</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5,764</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>7,131</b>
	Percentage	9.9%	5.6%	0.1%	80.8%	3.5%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>883</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1,638</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>2,808</b>
	Percentage	31.4%	6.2%	0.2%	58.3%	3.7%	100%
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>2,977</b>	<b>1,029</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16,613</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>20,814</b>
	Percentage	14.3%	4.9%	0.1%	79.8%	0.8%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>3,307</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8,066</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>12,396</b>
	Percentage	26.7%	3.6%	0.2%	65.1%	4.5%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>14,264</b>	<b>3,083</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>48,257</b>	<b>1,771</b>	<b>67,484</b>
	Percentage	21.1%	4.6%	0.2%	71.5%	2.6%	100%

Data Source: State MEDS File: Urban Research-GIS Section/SSSD/ISD. Tables Prepared by Data-GIS Unit,  
Quality Improvement & Training Divisions.

**Individuals Eligible for Medi-Cal Benefits in March 2008 by Gender  
Service Area 1**

<i>CITY</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<b>Acton</b>	<b>1,654</b>	<b>1,760</b>	<b>3,414</b>
	Percentage	48.4%	51.6%	100%
	<b>Agua Dulce</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>1,278</b>
	Percentage	46.7%	53.3%	100%
	<b>Del Sur</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>996</b>
	Percentage	48.1%	51.9%	100%
	<b>Gorman</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>2,258</b>
	Percentage	43.4%	56.6%	100%
	<b>Hi Vista</b>	<b>1,839</b>	<b>2,119</b>	<b>3,958</b>
	Percentage	46.5%	53.5%	100%
	<b>Lake Hughes</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>1,247</b>
	Percentage	44.9%	55.1%	100%
	<b>Lake Los Angeles</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>1,239</b>
	Percentage	46.7%	53.3%	100%
	<b>Leona Valley</b>	<b>2,280</b>	<b>2,634</b>	<b>4,914</b>
	Percentage	46.4%	53.6%	100%
	<b>Llano</b>	<b>597</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>1,378</b>
	Percentage	43.3%	56.7%	100%
	<b>Pearblossom</b>	<b>2,708</b>	<b>3,513</b>	<b>6,221</b>
	Percentage	43.5%	56.5%	100%
	<b>Quartz Hill</b>	<b>3,555</b>	<b>4,359</b>	<b>7,914</b>
	Percentage	44.9%	55.1%	100%
	<b>South Antelope Valley</b>	<b>1,408</b>	<b>1,795</b>	<b>3,203</b>
	Percentage	44.0%	56.0%	100%
<b>Unincorporated</b>				
<b>Lancaster</b>		<b>10,124</b>	<b>12,112</b>	<b>22,236</b>
	Percentage	45.5%	54.5%	100%
<b>Palmdale</b>		<b>6,519</b>	<b>7,909</b>	<b>14,428</b>
	Percentage	45.2%	54.8%	100%
<b>Total</b>		<b>33,883</b>	<b>40,815</b>	<b>74,698</b>
	Percentage	45.4%	54.6%	100%

Data Source: State MEDS File: Urban Research-GIS Section/SSSD/ISD. Tables Prepared by Data-GIS Unit, Quality Improvement & Training Divisions.